

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/899,552	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WAGTER-LESPERANCE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Michael Szperka	<b>Art Unit</b> 1644	

**All Participants:**

(1) Michael Szperka.

(2) Patricia Folkins.

**Status of Application:** notice of appeal filed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 20 March 2007
**Time:** 2:30 PM
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

21

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Applicant's representative agreed to the changes detailed in the examiner's amendment to place the application in condition for allowance.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)